

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address. Day 1450.
Address. Day 1450.
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,305	03/19/2001	Roger Read	047763-5017	5146
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, BINTA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	17
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	( /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.						
(°		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/673,305	READ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Binta M. Robinson	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- <del></del>				
2a)☐	, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) 1-17,22,23,27-29,35,37-40,42 and 47	7-49 is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12,15 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>7-11,13,14,16,17,22,23,26-29,35,37-40,42-45 and 47-49</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen		30				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/673,305

Art Unit: 1625

## **Detailed Action**

The 112, first paragraph rejections of claims 1-17, 22-23, 27-29, 35, 37-40, 42-45, 47-49 are withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment at paper no. 16/D. The 112, second paragraph rejection of the term "oxo" in claim 1 is withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment at paper no. 16/D.

(modified rejection)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 3 4, 5, 6, 12, 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. In claim 1 and all occurrences throughout the claims, the phrase "constituent can be substituted or unstubstited, straight chain or branched chain, and hydrophobic, hydrophilor or fluorophilic" is indefinite. First of all, the term "constituent" means an element or component of and is not really the best term to define a chemical substituent. The term "substituent" is suggested. Second of all, a substituent can not be optionally hydrophobic or hydrophilic. If a substituent is hydrophobic it is not hydrophilic, unless a portion of the substituent is hydrophobic and another portion of the substituent is hydropholic. It is unclear as to what the applicant means by this phrase.

In the amendment filed 14/.5/C, the clean copy of the claims contains brackets around the information that is supposed to be deleted which is improper. For example, in claim 3, page 3, line 4, the phrase "unsubstituted or substituted, straight chain or

Joe J

Art Unit: 1625

branched cháin, hydrophobic, hydrophilic or flurorophilic" is bracketed in the claim and is still present in the claim.

Claims 7-11, 13-14, 16-17, 22-23, 26-29, 35, 37-40, 42-45, 47-49 are objected to because they are based on a rejected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson July 9, 2003 ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

alan L. Rotman